

ETS Performance Assessment for Teacher Leaders (PATL)

Task 6: Collaborative Teams and Advocacy

Rubric for Step 1: The Advocacy Plan and Your Team (Textboxes 6.1.1 and 6.1.2)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
A response at the 1-level provides minimal evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to develop an advocacy plan with colleagues that connects to a needs assessment, is relevant to the needs of the education system, and improves student learning; to explain how educational policies and trends influenced work with colleagues in developing and implementing the advocacy plan; to provide a rationale for the selection of team members for the advocacy plan; to use strategies and leadership skills to build a collaborative team; to facilitate the team	A response at the 2-level provides partial evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to develop an advocacy plan with colleagues that connects to a needs assessment, is relevant to the needs of the education system, and improves student learning; to explain how educational policies and trends influenced work with colleagues in developing and implementing the advocacy plan; to provide a rationale for the selection of team members for the advocacy plan; to use strategies and leadership skills to build a collaborative team; to facilitate the team	A response at the 3-level provides effective evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to develop an advocacy plan with colleagues that connects to a needs assessment, is relevant to the needs of the education system, and improves student learning; to explain how educational policies and trends influenced work with colleagues in developing and implementing the advocacy plan; to provide a rationale for the selection of team members for the advocacy plan; to use strategies and leadership skills to build a collaborative team; to facilitate the team	A response at the 4-level provides extensive evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to develop an advocacy plan with colleagues that connects to a needs assessment, is relevant to the needs of the education system, and improves student learning; to explain how educational policies and trends influenced work with colleagues in developing and implementing the advocacy plan; to provide a rationale for the selection of team members for the advocacy plan; to use strategies and leadership skills to build a collaborative team; to facilitate the team



Rubric for Step 1 (continued)

members' contributions to the advocacy plan to promote educational improvement and improve student learning; to promote colleagues' understanding of how educational policy affects the advocacy plan; to determine steps to take to ensure that professional resources are available to colleagues as they work on the plan.

The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is minimal and/or ineffective throughout the response for Step 1. Evidence may also be missing.

members' contributions to the advocacy plan to promote educational improvement and improve student learning; to promote colleagues' understanding of how educational policy affects the advocacy plan; to determine steps to take to ensure that professional resources are available to colleagues as they work on the plan.

The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is limited and/or vague throughout the response for Step 1.

members' contributions to the advocacy plan to promote educational improvement and improve student learning; to promote colleagues' understanding of how educational policy affects the advocacy plan; to determine steps to take to ensure that professional resources are available to colleagues as they work on the plan.

The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is appropriate and connected throughout the response for Step 1.

members' contributions to the advocacy plan to promote educational improvement and improve student learning; to promote colleagues' understanding of how educational policy affects the advocacy plan; to determine steps to take to ensure that professional resources are available to colleagues as they work on the plan.

The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is insightful and tightly connected throughout the response for Step 1.

Score of 0 for Step 1

If a Zero is assigned, the Step is considered "Not Scoreable" because of insufficient evidence. A Zero is assigned to Step 1 for at least one of the following reasons.

- No written response is in the Task 6—Step 1 textbox.
- The written response does not address any of the guiding prompts for Task 6—Step 1.
- The artifact attachment contains only hyperlinks.
- None of the following required artifacts are acceptable or attached to any of the Task 6 textboxes.
 - Advocacy Plan



Response for Textbox 6.1.1

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
little or no evidence of how the development of an advocacy plan with colleagues is a response to the needs assessment and how it is relevant to the needs of the educational system and improves student learning	inconsistent evidence of how the development of an advocacy plan with colleagues is a response to the needs assessment and how it is relevant to the needs of the educational system and improves student learning	 complete evidence of how the development of an advocacy plan with colleagues is a response to the needs assessment and how it is relevant to the needs of the educational system and improves student learning effective evidence of how 	significant evidence of how the development of an advocacy plan with colleagues is a response to the needs assessment and how it is relevant to the needs of the educational system and improves student learning
ineffective evidence of how educational policies and trends influenced work with colleagues in developing and implementing the plan	partial evidence of how educational policies and trends influenced work with colleagues in developing and implementing the plan	educational policies and trends influenced work with colleagues in developing and implementing the plan	in-depth evidence of how educational policies and trends influenced work with colleagues in developing and implementing the plan



Response for Textbox 6.1.2

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
 trivial evidence of a rationale for both the selection of team members and for the strategies and leadership skills used to build the collaborative team 	incomplete evidence of a rationale for both the selection of team members and for the strategies and leadership skills used to build the collaborative team	complete evidence of a rationale for both the selection of team members and for the strategies and leadership skills used to build the collaborative team	significant evidence of a rationale for both the selection of team members and for the strategies and leadership skills used to build the collaborative team
 ineffective evidence of the facilitation of members contributions to the plan to promote educational improvement and improve student learning 	limited evidence of the facilitation of members contributions to the plan to promote educational improvement and improve student learning	effective evidence of the facilitation of members contributions to the plan to promote educational improvement and improve student learning	insightful evidence of the facilitation of members contributions to the plan to promote educational improvement and improve student learning
 irrelevant evidence of the promotion of colleagues' understanding of how educational policy affects the advocacy plan 	limited evidence of the promotion of colleagues' understanding of how educational policy affects the advocacy plan	relevant evidence of the promotion of colleagues' understanding of how educational policy affects the advocacy plan	substantive evidence of the promotion of colleagues' understanding of how educational policy affects the advocacy plan
 minimal evidence of steps taken to ensure that professional resources were available to colleagues as they worked with the advocacy plan 	incomplete evidence of steps taken to ensure that professional resources were available to colleagues as they worked with the advocacy plan	complete evidence of steps taken to ensure that professional resources were available to colleagues as they worked with the advocacy plan	significant evidence of steps taken to ensure that professional resources were available to colleagues as they worked with the advocacy plan



Rubric for Step 2: Advocacy for Educational Improvement (Textbox 6.2.1)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
A response at the 1-level	A response at the 2-level	A response at the 3-level	A response at the 4-level
provides minimal evidence	provides partial evidence	provides effective evidence	provides extensive evidence
that demonstrates the	that demonstrates the	that demonstrates the	that demonstrates the
teacher leader candidate's	teacher leader candidate's	teacher leader candidate's	teacher leader candidate's
ability to determine	ability to determine	ability to determine	ability to determine
procedures for the team to			
implement the plan to			
advocate for the selected			
educational improvement and	educational improvement and	educational improvement and	educational improvement and
improved student learning; to			
support the team in choosing			
activities to involve	activities to involve	activities to involve	activities to involve
stakeholders and to advocate			
effectively within and beyond			
the school community to	the school community to	the school community to have	the school community to have
have an impact on the	have an impact on the	an impact on the educational	an impact on the educational
educational system and to	educational system and to	system and to improve	system and to improve
improve student learning.	improve student learning.	student learning.	student learning.
The preponderance of	The preponderance of	The preponderance of	The preponderance of
evidence for the 1-level	evidence for the 2-level	evidence for the 3-level	evidence for the 4-level
criteria is minimal and/or	criteria is limited and/or	criteria is appropriate and	criteria is insightful and
ineffective throughout the	vague throughout the	connected throughout the	tightly connected throughout
response for Step 2. Evidence	response for Step 2.	response for Step 2.	the response for Step 2.
may also be missing.			

Score of 0 for Step 2

If a Zero is assigned, the Step is considered "Not Scoreable" because of insufficient evidence. A Zero is assigned to Step 2 for at least one of the following reasons.

- No written response is in the Task 6—Step 2 textbox.
- The written response does not address any of the guiding prompts for Task 6—Step 2.

PATL Task 6 – Collaborative Teams and Advocacy



Response for Textbox 6.2.1

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
ineffective evidence of the implementation of procedures to advocate for the selected educational improvement and student learning based on the plan	 incomplete evidence of the implementation of procedures to advocate for the selected educational improvement and student learning based on the plan 	effective evidence of the implementation of procedures to advocate for the selected educational improvement and student learning based on the plan	significant evidence of the implementation of procedures to advocate for the selected educational improvement and student learning based on the plan
trivial evidence of how the activities undertaken by the team involved stakeholders and advocated effectively, within and beyond the school community, to have an impact on the educational system and to improve student learning	• <i>limited</i> evidence of how the activities undertaken by the team involved stakeholders and advocated effectively, within and beyond the school community, to have an impact on the educational system and to improve student learning	effective evidence of how the activities undertaken by the team involved stakeholders and advocated effectively, within and beyond the school community, to have an impact on the educational system and to improve student learning	thorough evidence of how the activities undertaken by the team involved stakeholders and advocated effectively, within and beyond the school community, to have an impact on the educational system and to improve student learning



Rubric for Step 3: Evaluation of the Plan and Professional Growth (Textbox 6.3.1)



Rubric for Step 3 (continued)

The preponderance of	The preponderance of	The preponderance of	The preponderance of	
evidence for the 1-level	evidence for the 2-level	evidence for the 3-level	evidence for the 4-level	
criteria is minimal and/or	criteria is limited and/or	criteria is appropriate and	criteria is insightful and	
ineffective throughout the response for Step 3. Evidence	vague throughout the response for Step 3.	connected throughout the response for Step 3.	tightly connected throughout the response for Step 3.	
may also be missing.				

Score of 0 for Step 3

If a Zero is assigned, the Step is considered "Not Scoreable" because of insufficient evidence. A Zero is assigned to Step 3 for at least one of the following reasons.

- No written response is in the Task 6—Step 3 textboxes.
- The written response does not address any of the guiding prompts for Task 6—Step 3.
- The artifact attachment contains only hyperlinks.
- None of the following required artifacts are acceptable or attached to any of the Task 6 textboxes.
 - o Professional growth evaluation from colleagues involved in the advocacy plan
 - o Stakeholder feedback from either of the following sources:
 - communication showing interactions between colleagues and stakeholders
 - print media showing involvement with advocacy efforts.



Response for Textbox 6.3.1

	Score of 1	Score of 2		Score of 3		Score of 4
	esponse provides evidence that ocludes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:		esponse provides evidence that ocludes the following:		esponse provides evidence that cludes the following:
•	inappropriate evidence of an appropriate means to evaluate the plan's effectiveness and the team's professional growth	 incomplete evidence of an appropriate means to evaluate the plan's effectiveness and the team's professional growth 	•	logical evidence of an appropriate means to evaluate the plan's effectiveness and the team's professional growth	•	in-depth evidence of an appropriate means to evaluate the plan's effectiveness and the team's professional growth
•	ineffective evidence of an analysis of the effectiveness of the advocacy plan and of collaboration with the team in enhancing colleagues' professional practice and student learning	 partial evidence of an analysis of the effectiveness of the advocacy plan and of collaboration with the team in enhancing colleagues' professional practice and student learning 	•	informed evidence of an analysis of the effectiveness of the advocacy plan and of collaboration with the team in enhancing colleagues' professional practice and student learning	•	significant evidence of an analysis of the effectiveness of the advocacy plan and of collaboration with the team in enhancing colleagues' professional practice and student learning
•	irrelevant evidence of the impact of stakeholder feedback on the implementation or outcome of the plan	cursory evidence of the impact of stakeholder feedback on the implementation or outcome of the plan	•	relevant evidence of the impact of stakeholder feedback on the implementation or outcome of the plan	•	insightful evidence of the impact of stakeholder feedback on the implementation or outcome of the plan
•	misinformed evidence of how work with the collaborative team affected collegial interactions and colleagues' professional practice	 uneven evidence of how work with the collaborative team affected collegial interactions and colleagues' professional practice 	•	informed evidence of how work with the collaborative team affected collegial interactions and colleagues' professional practice	•	extensive evidence of how work with the collaborative team affected collegial interactions and colleagues' professional practice
•	inappropriate evidence of reflection on how the current advocacy plan will affect future advocacy efforts within the school and district	limited evidence of reflection on how the current advocacy plan will affect future advocacy efforts within the school and district	•	appropriate evidence of reflection on how the current advocacy plan will affect future advocacy efforts within the school and district	•	detailed evidence of reflection on how the current advocacy plan will affect future advocacy efforts within the school and district



Rubric for Responses for all Textboxes

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
 disconnected and/or trivial examples to support the responses 	loosely connected and/or cursory examples to support the responses	connected and effective examples to support the responses	tightly connected and detailed examples to support the responses

Copyright © 2023 by Educational Testing Service. All rights reserved. ETS and the ETS logo are registered trademarks of Educational Testing Service (ETS) in the United States and other countries.